

## PRESENTER



### **Professor Mark Henaghan, University of Otago, Dunedin**

Mark specialises in family law and is a Barrister and Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand. He is co-author of *Family Law Policy in New Zealand* (4th ed LexisNexis 2012) and joint author of *Family Law in New Zealand*, (16th ed LexisNexis 2014). Mark is the joint author of *Relationship Property on Death, Relationship Property Consolidated Legislation and Analysis* (2001 Brookers Thomson Group) and is sole author of *Care of Children* (2005 LexisNexis) and *Health Professionals and Trust* (2012 Routledge)

*The statements and conclusions contained in this paper are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

# CONTENTS

<b>CLAYTON V CLAYTON: THE TRUST YOU HAVE WHEN YOU DO NOT REALLY HAVE A TRUST .....</b>	<b>1</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	1
THE MEANING OF “PROPERTY” ACCORDING TO THE SUPREME COURT .....	2
<i>Property under the PRA</i> .....	2
<i>Powers in a trust that amount to “property”</i> .....	3
<i>Powers in a trust that amount to “relationship property”</i> .....	6
THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT’S FLUID DEFINITION OF “PROPERTY” IN THE PRA.....	7
<i>Property, trusts, and the PRA</i> .....	7
<i>Immovable property overseas</i> .....	8
<i>Partnership interests and employment contracts</i> .....	9
<i>The valuation question</i> .....	9
WAS THE VAUGHAN ROAD PROPERTY TRUST A “SHAM” OR AN “ILLUSORY TRUST” ACCORDING TO THE SUPREME COURT? .....	9
<i>Was the Vaughan Road Property Trust a “sham” trust?</i> .....	9
<i>Was the Vaughan Road Property Trust an “illusory trust”?</i> .....	10
RELATIONSHIP PROPERTY AND TRUSTS: A NEED FOR REFORM.....	11
WAS THE CLAYMARK TRUST A “NUPTIAL SETTLEMENT” ACCORDING TO THE SUPREME COURT? .....	12
THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT’S DEFINITION OF A “NUPTIAL SETTLEMENT” .....	14
NUPTIAL SETTLEMENTS: A NEED FOR REFORM? .....	15
CONCLUSION .....	15